



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/756,330	01/08/2001		Yueh-O Yu	JCLA6008 6728			
23900	7590	05/06/2005		EXAMINER			
J C PATEN 4 VENTUR	•		VU, TUAN A				
IRVINE, C	•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•				2193	2193		
				DATE MAILED, 05/04/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/756,330	YU, YUEH-O	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Tuan A. Vu	2193	

	Tuan A. Vu	2193						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 December 2004</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date or 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
b) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,	jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	`	ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·		•					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	Ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper		\mathcal{X}					
	•	TODD INGBER	\					

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims 1, 7, and 16 now have the 'uploaded' limitation added to the limitation as to the development of program code by the user of the personalized products. This newly added subject matter requires further search or consideration for art rejection or 112, 1st paragraph type of informality among others; hence the claims will not be entered for not simplifying material for Brief and by virtue of the state of rejection in the previous office action, are not in condition for allowance.

TODD INGBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER